

EDITORIAL

DATE: 28th January

Revamping India's Judiciary: Tackling Appointments and Case Pendency

General Studies-2; Topic: Structure, Organization, and Functioning of the Executive and Judiciary

Introduction

- **Judiciary Under Strain**: India's judiciary is under significant stress due to rising **case backlogs**, especially in **High Courts**, leading to delays in justice delivery.
- **Critical Concern**: The **Department of Justice's 2023 report** revealed a strong correlation between **judicial vacancies** and mounting pendency, highlighting systemic inefficiencies in judicial administration.

Understanding the Background

The Debate on Appointments

- Judicial appointments in India are plagued by **delays** and **controversies**, worsening the pendency crisis.
- A standoff between the executive and judiciary has resulted in numerous vacancies in higher courts.

NJAC vs. Collegium System

- 1. NJAC (National Judicial Appointments Commission):
 - Introduced through the 99th Constitutional Amendment Act (2014) to bring transparency and accountability in appointments.
 - Included a six-member panel:
 - Chief Justice of India (CJI) as Chairperson.
 - Two senior judges of the Supreme Court.
 - Union Law Minister.
 - Two eminent persons nominated by a committee.
 - Judiciary's Opposition: The Supreme Court (2015) declared NJAC unconstitutional, citing concerns over judicial independence and executive overreach.
- 2. Collegium System:
 - Judicial appointments are recommended by the CJI and four senior judges of the Supreme Court.
 - Criticism: Lack of transparency, nepotism, and opaque decision-making processes.
- 3. Current Scenario:
 - o Debate persists over a reformed **NJAC** that balances **accountability** and **independence**.

Case Pendency: A Growing Crisis

Scale of the Problem

- **High Courts**: Over **60 lakh cases** pending (as of April 2023).
- Lower Courts: More than 4.4 crore cases unresolved (National Judicial Data Grid, December 2023).

Judicial Vacancies

- **High Courts**: Nearly **30% of judge positions** remain vacant.
- **Lower Courts**: Over **5,000 positions** for judicial officers are unfilled.

Consequences of Pendency

- **Justice Delayed**: Results in **erosion of public trust** in the judiciary.
- **Social Impact**: Marginalized and economically weaker sections face **disproportionate hardships** in prolonged legal battles.
- **Economic Impact**: According to the **World Bank**, judicial inefficiencies cost India around **2% of GDP annually**due to delays in enforcing contracts and resolving commercial disputes.

Global Perspectives on Judicial Appointments

Comparative Models

- 1. United Kingdom:
 - o Judicial Appointments Commission (JAC) with **15 members**, ensuring **fairness** and **diversity**.
 - o Includes judges, legal professionals, and laypersons to maintain balance.
- 2. South Africa:
 - o The Judicial Service Commission (JSC) advises the President on appointments.
 - o Ensures representation from judiciary, academia, politicians, and civil society.
- 3. France:
 - o The **High Council of the Judiciary** involves a mix of **judges**, **legal experts**, and **laypersons**, promoting **transparency** and **accountability**.

Key Lessons: International practices emphasize **diversity**, **judicial independence**, and **transparency**, which are critical for an effective judiciary.

Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges

- 1. Judicial Independence vs Accountability:
 - o Balancing these two principles remains the **core issue**.
- 2. Collegium System Criticisms:
 - o Lack of **objective criteria**, **opacity**, and allegations of **favoritism** weaken public trust.

Opportunities for Reform

- Revisit the **NJAC** or create a **new framework** with broader representation from civil society, academia, and judiciary.
- Use **global best practices** to devise a more effective judicial appointment process.

Way Forward

Reforming Judicial Appointments

- Rework NJAC:
 - o Include members from the **judiciary**, **executive**, and **civil society**.
 - o Safeguard judicial **independence** while ensuring **accountability**.
- Enhance Collegium Transparency:
 - o Define clear **selection criteria**.
 - o Make **appointment decisions public** to build trust.



Addressing Case Pendency

- Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR):
 - o Promote mechanisms like **mediation**, **arbitration**, and **lok adalats**.
 - Example: ADR mechanisms in **Delhi High Court** reduced pending cases by **24%** in 2022.
- Leveraging Technology:
 - o Implement **AI-driven case management systems** to streamline court operations.
 - o Example: e-Courts Project (Phase III) aims to digitize case records across all courts.

Adopting Global Best Practices

• Learn from **UK**, **South Africa**, and **France** to improve diversity, transparency, and inclusivity in judicial appointments.

Investing in Judicial Infrastructure

- Expand courtroom capacity, hire more support staff, and upgrade court technology.
- Example: The **India Justice Report 2022** ranked **Tamil Nadu** and **Karnataka** highest in judicial infrastructure, showcasing the impact of investment.

Impact

• Reducing **pendency** and streamlining judicial appointments will restore **public trust**, strengthen **rule of law**, and enhance India's global standing in **ease of doing business** (currently ranked **63rd**, World Bank 2023).

Conclusion

Addressing the dual challenges of **judicial appointments** and **case pendency** is essential to maintaining the **rule of law**and ensuring a **vibrant democracy**. By adopting **transparent appointment mechanisms**, leveraging **technology**, and improving infrastructure, India can build a **robust judiciary** capable of meeting modern demands and upholding **public confidence**.

MAINS QUESTION

Discuss the challenges associated with the National Judicial Appointments Commission (NJAC) and its implications for judicial independence. Do you think a reformed NJAC could strike a balance between independence and accountability?